# IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE BUSINESS AND PROPERTY COURTS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LIST (ChD) PATENTS COURT

### Appeal No CH-2019-000339

On appeal from the decision of **Huw Jones** (Deputy Director, United Kingdom Intellectual Property Office) dated 4 December 2019

The Hon. Mr Justice Marcus Smith 21 September 2020

**BETWEEN:** 

#### STEPHEN THALER

**Appellant** 

and

## COMPTROLLER-GENERAL OF PATENTS, DESIGNS Respondent AND TRADE MARKS

(	RDER

**UPON** Huw Jones having made a decision on behalf of the Respondent – BL O/741/19 – dated 4 December 2019, in relation to patent applications GB1816909.4 and GB1818161.0 made in the name of the Appellant ("the **Decision**");

**AND UPON** the Appellant having brought the present appeal from the Decision ("the **Appeal**"), by Appellant's Notice dated 18 December 2019;

**AND UPON** hearing the appeal at an oral hearing, conducted remotely, on 15 July 2020 ("the **Appeal Hearing**")

**AND UPON** hearing Robert Jehan and Ryan Abbott for the Appellant, and Stuart Baran for the Respondent

**AND UPON** the parties having confidentially agreed an amount to be paid by the Appellant to the Respondent in respect of the Respondent's costs of this appeal

**AND UPON** this Court having handed down its judgment – [2020] EWHC 2412 (Pat) ("the **Judgment**") – remotely and in writing on 21 September 2020.

#### IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. The Appeal be dismissed.

2. The Decision be upheld.

3. Patent Application number GB1816909.4 shall be deemed to have been

withdrawn as of 18 February 2020.

4. Patent Application number GB1818161.0 shall be deemed to have been

withdrawn as of 10 March 2020.

5. The Appellant shall pay the Respondent the sum confidentially agreed

between the parties in respect of the Respondent's costs of the Appeal by

4pm on 5 October 2020. There shall be no further order as to the costs of the

Appeal.

6. This order shall be served by the Respondent upon the Appellant by their

respective legal representatives.

7. This is an order from which an appeal lies to the Court of Appeal (Civil

Division). Permission to appeal is refused. Any further application for

permission to appeal must be addressed to the Court of Appeal (Civil

Division).

Reasons for refusing permission to appeal

a) Although this is a second appeal, the ordinary rules regarding permission

to appeal apply. I see no real prospects of the appeal succeeding. I have

affirmed the careful Decision below after fresh argument and an entirely

fresh consideration of the statutory provisions. For the reasons given in

my Judgment, I regard these provisions as clear and I see no prospect of

the appeal succeeding.

b) I do not consider that there is any other compelling reason to give

permission to appeal. The questions raised by the Appellant are

undoubtedly interesting: but they are interesting in terms of legal policy

regarding artificial intelligence and raise no matter of interest on an

appeal.

Service of this order

A sealed copy of this order has been served upon the serving party:

The Treasury Solicitor (solicitor for the Respondent)

Government Legal Department

102 Petty France, Westminster, London SW1H 9GL

Ref: Z2000032/CLGA/DWA

2